

Supreme Court Litigation Clinic

Directors

John P. Elwood Matthew Madden Jeremy C. Marwell Daniel R. Ortiz Mark T. Stancil

March 30, 2018

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543-0001

Re: Culbertson v. Berryhill, No. 17-773

Dear Mr. Harris:

Mr. Culbertson's petition for a writ certiorari presents a question regarding the appropriate amount of attorney's fees that may be awarded in Social Security cases under 42 U.S.C. 406. Pet. I. The petition presents that question in the context of two separate district court cases that were consolidated in the court of appeals: *Wood* v. *Commissioner of Social Security*, Pet. App. 18a-29a, and *Westfall* v. *Commissioner of Social Security*, Pet. App. 30a-57a.

Based on his further review of the case, petitioner hereby withdraws his request for this Court's review of the question presented with respect to the *Westfall* case and waives any claim to further fees for work performed in that case. Petitioner therefore limits his certiorari petition to the question presented as it arises only in the *Wood* case.

Respondent has already been notified of this decision and is cc'ed below.

Yours sincerely,

Men. at

Daniel R. Ortiz

Cc: Hon. Noel Francisco

34.982.2845 • dortiz@virginia.edu